

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,695	12/31/2003	Albert A. Vierheilig	113222.146 US1	2181	
28089 7.	590 06/15/2006		EXAMINER		
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP			SINGH, I	SINGH, PREM C	
399 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
11211 101111,			1764		
		DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/749,695	VIERHEILIG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Prem C. Singh	1764			
Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHOF WHICH - Extensic after SIX - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Triod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, y received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin 17 iiill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 18 cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 31 De	ecember 2003.				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
cl	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	ı of Claims					
4a 5)□ C 6)□ C 7)□ C	laim(s) 1-136 is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw laim(s) is/are allowed. claim(s) is/are rejected. claim(s) is/are objected to. claim(s) 1-136 are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application	n Papers					
10)□ Tr A R	ne specification is objected to by the Examine ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and acception and acception and acception and acception are declaration is objected to by the Examine specification is objected to be specification.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-92, 100-120, drawn to method of reducing sulfur, classified in class 208, subclass 208R.
- II. Claims 93-99, 121, drawn to additive, classified in class 502, subclass 84.
- III. Claims 122-136, drawn to catalytic cracking, classified in class 208, subclass 108.

Inventions in Group II and Group I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, sulfur can be reduced by a materially different additive, for example: CoMo catalyst.

Inventions in Group II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

Application/Control Number: 10/749,695

Art Unit: 1764

process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case catalytic cracking can be conducted by using materially different additive, for example: zeolites.

Inventions in Group I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the invention in Group I uses different operating conditions (temperature, pressure, and residence time) as compared to the invention in Group III.

A telephone call was made to Attorney Kristie Bilardo on 05/24/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prem C. Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-6381. The examiner can normally be reached on MF 6:30 AM-3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,695 Page 5

Art Unit: 1764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ps/053106

Glenn Caldarola Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700